#### LONDON BOROUGH OF HARROW

#### CABINET

### **14 SEPTEMBER 2010**

# REFERENCE FROM OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE - 8 SEPTEMBER 2010

## **IT Service Delivery**

The Corporate Director of Finance reported to the Committee that the project had been running for a significant period of time and was an important long term decision for the future of the Council. She reported that:

- The Council had reviewed its IT services. Concerns highlighted included a limited capacity to support remote and flexible working, lack of a disaster recovery system and being a constraint to future transformation.
- An IT strategy was developed in 2008 which initiated an options appraisal. This
  was conduced in partnership with PriceWaterHouseCoopers (PwC). This looked
  at the future options of the service and included soft market testing.
- The outcome of this appraisal was a recommendation to transfer responsibility for the IT service to Capita. This was considered to be the most appropriate and cost effective solution.
- The next phase involved a proposal request. This included a detailed description of the service the Council wished to receive. Capita were asked to prepare a response which was received by the Council on 1 March 2010. The proposal involved a single unified solution to deal with the core services infrastructure.
- A detailed evaluation of the bid was conducted. The evaluation involved a value for money assessment, a key part of the evaluation given that it was a single bid
- An in-house option was also developed in order to make a comparison. This
  found that in order to deliver the same level of service the Council would have to
  spend a comparable amount but the option involved greater risk in relation to
  delivery.
- Cabinet at its meeting in July 2010 made an 'in principle' decision to transfer IT services to Capita. After this meeting, four additional projects were conducted. This involved consultation with staff and unions, negotiating the proposed contract, working on a transition plan and pricing model.
- A flexible pricing model had been negotiated to take into account lower fees for reduced number of users and vice versa.
- Extensive consultation with staff had taken place. Consultation with individual staff would continue up to the proposed date of transfer.

- The report to Cabinet in September 2010 provided an update on the proposal and contained a recommendation to proceed with the transfer. A final decision would be made at Council.
- The transfer of IT services to Capita was integral to the transformation agenda in order to facilitate projects such as remote and flexible working. There were numerous benefits associated with transferring IT services to Capita and it would be an important tool to drive efficiencies for the Council.

During the discussion, Members raised a number of queries which officers responded to including:

- The Council had an existing partnership arrangement with Capita. The partnership agreement had been established in 2005 and would continue up until 2015. The partnership agreement had been designed in such a way so as to include delivery of services. During the initial options appraisal process, other options had been considered but it was concluded that working with Capita under the current partnership agreement would be the best option and provide value for money.
- A comparison between the Capita bid and developing an in-house option had been conducted when performing the value for money assessment. A broader tendering process was considered but it was deemed that this would have been costly to co-ordinate and therefore would not have represented value for money.
- As part of the soft market testing, the option of working with other Councils had been investigated. However the conclusion reached was that there would have to be full delegation to other Councils whose own IT strategy would set the direction of services. This was not a suitable scenario.
- Consideration of other service providers had been considered in the soft market testing. However it was a reasonable assumption that other potential providers would not have been comfortable working alongside Capita as the Transformation partners.
- The Council could implement a break clause for the contract period to last for 5 years if required.
- Officers would respond to the Committee on whether reduction in the cost of flexible and remote working transformation project and a reduction in the cost of email systems integration were capital or revenue costs. Savings relating to moving to a new Civic Centre related to revenue costs. It was difficult to predict which year this move would be achieved but it was estimated this would be at least 5 years given that it would involve a significant change.
- Figures provided in the report relating to the net position related to the 5 year bid. If the overall Capita bid was more expensive this would initially lower the amount of capital expenditure and result in more revenue expenditure. Savings on having less capital expenditure would go on beyond 5 years.
- If the contract continued for a 10 year period, there would be additional revenue cost and an additional capital cost in year 6 for a refresh. The way in which in

the bid had been structured had been divided into capital and revenue costs. Some of the projects involved some revenue and some capital costs.

- All hardware maintenance would be conducted by Capita. Licensing management would be transferred to Capita although negotiations were still being conducted on the specific details.
- In terms of business applications there were two factors to consider. Firstly the core infrastructure network would be managed and operated by Capita. Secondly there were applications where the Council had a contract with software providers. These applications would only be hosted by Capita.
- Applications were currently integrated with Novell and Groupwise, which were now out of date. This had contributed towards poor service delivery. Integrating these with a modern environment would automatically improve performance. This would be the same scenario for all applications.
- In response to a request, officers would circulate a detailed risk register to the Committee on the proposals generally.
- An audit was currently being conducted into the number of computers to ensure the information was correct prior to 1 November 2010. Although there were currently more software licences than required, this was due to the fact that there was less staff than two years ago. Due diligence being conducted by the Council would involve ensuring that the Council had a complete audit of the number of computers with accurate figures.
- Eversheds had provided detailed legal advice in relation to the transfer.
   Eversheds had been involved in implementing the partnership contract with Capita and it was believed that the most efficient option was to utilise their services.
- Up to the date of transfer, a project board would look at identified work streams
  on the transfer with support from Eversheds. The Divisional Director of IT was
  working on the transition plan and the Corporate Director of Finance was
  investigating costing and the pricing model along with PwC. Services had also
  been commissioned to deal with human resources issues. After the proposed
  transfer, a client team would monitor performance of the contract.
- The existing partnership contract had a performance management framework embedded into it. Penalties could be applied if the relevant terms were not adhered to. This had been enforced previously.
- The IT department currently consisted of 30 permanent members of staff. There had been a deliberate attempt in the last few months to use agency staff for vacancies to minimise the impact on permanent staff. Discussions were still ongoing with Capita about the implications for staff. There had been an attempt to provide staff with as many options as possible including applying for the Voluntary Severance Scheme. Staff had also been provided with details of opportunities within Capita.
- Although Capita's bid was more expensive than the Council's current budget, it
  was believed that there were strong reasons for additional investment as it
  would underpin a significant amount of the work of the transformation of the

Council for the future. Even if the service remained in-house, there would be a need for investment.

- Capita had committed to high levels of security to promote remote and flexible working. Capita would implement systems to ISO2001 standards. They had achieved ISO2001 and additionally the Council was working with Eversheds to produce a schedule to ensure Capita's Government Connect compliance. The Council had approximately 30-40 people who were required to be fully compliant with proposed requirements and this model had previously been accepted by the Government.
- The Council faced severe financial constraints. However it was vital to conduct this transfer to ensure a more robust and resilient infrastructure for the future. Other streams of the transformation programme were dependent on the infrastructure being improved.
- The Council had conducted detailed policy work in relation to confidentiality, security and care of workforce issues relating to remote working.
- The Council was maintaining a benefits tracker on the proposed transfer to Capita. This was continually updated and was a good basis to track achievements. The methods by which Capita would be monitored included via the performance management framework, the client team checking on progress made and detailed reports to Council Management Boards and Lead Members. Reports could also be presented at regular intervals to the Overview and Scrutiny Committee.
- Money being utilised to fund the project had been identified to implement Government funded projects which were no longer taking place due to cuts. Additionally the transfer of IT services to Capita involved more than the provision of equipment and related to the entire IT infrastructure.

Members of the Committee made a number of comments during the discussion on this item which included:

- By implication there was risk of an extension of the proposed contract with Capita. There were difficult logistics of ending the contract after 5 years if staffs were being outsourced and there was no server infrastructure. In reality it may be a 10 year contract.
- The contract implementing the transfer of IT services to Capita should include provision for Capita to ensure that all hardware and software was kept up to date.
- It was important to ensure that the audit being conducted was accurate as any problems associated with the current system would not be solved by simply outsourcing the service.
- There were some concerns about the reductions in staff once the services had been transferred to Capita.
- There could be difficulties if the government insisted on compliance with proposed security requirements. This could have significant financial

implications and affect the entire delivery model of the proposed transfer of services.

- There was not currently a consistent approach across the Council in relation to IT service delivery. This had been confirmed by PwC. The proposed transfer would remedy this situation and ensure that all aspects of IT service delivery were brought under control. Capita were the best equipped organisation to ensure good service delivery as they had the necessary expertise, background and vision.
- It was important for Member level input into monitoring the transfer of IT services to Capita. This could be done by the BTP Panel or another similar body. Contract management was an area for improvement and Member input was required.

RESOLVED: That the comments of the Committee be presented to Cabinet, at its meeting on 14<sup>th</sup> September 2010, as part of the consideration on the item on IT Service Delivery.

## FOR CONSIDERATION

## **Background Documents:**

Report considered by the Overview and Scrutiny Committee held on 8 September 2010.

## Contact Officer:

Vishal Seegoolam, Acting Senior Professional - Democratic Services

Tel: 020 8424 1883

Email: vishal.seegoolam@harrow.gov.uk